Forest Heath & St Edmundsbury councils

West Suffolk

working together

# West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee

Committee					
Title of Report:	Summary of Complaints Received for 2014				
Report No:	JST/JT/14/001				
Decisions plan reference:	Not Applicable				
Report to and date:	West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee		15 December 2014		
Lead officer:	Joy Bowes Monitoring Officer <b>Tel:</b> 01284 757141 <b>Email:</b> joy.bowes@westsuffolk.gov.uk		Peter Heard Deputy Monitoring Officer <b>Tel:</b> 01638 719309 <b>Email:</b> peter.heard@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	To provide Members with a summary of the complaints received under the Code of Conduct in 2014.				
Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that the Committee notes the report.				
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that <b>do not</b> apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - □ No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠				
that <u>do not</u> apply.) Consultation:	Consultation is not appropriate for this report				
Alternative option(s)		None			
<b>Implications:</b> Are there any <b>financia</b> If yes, please give deta Are there any <b>staffing</b> If yes, please give deta	implications? ails implications?		0 🛛		
Are there any <b>ICT</b> imp yes, please give details	lications? If	Yes 🗆 N	0 🛛		
Are there any <b>legal an</b> implications? If yes, ple details		Yes 🗆 N	0 🛛		

<i>Are there any <b>equality</b> implications?</i> <i>If yes, please give details</i>		Yes 🗆 No 🖂		
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)		
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	<b>Residual risk</b> (after controls)	
Not applicable	Low/Medium/ High*		Low/Medium/ High*	
Ward(s) affected:		All Wards		
<b>Background papers:</b> (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)		None		
Documents attached:		None		

# 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

## 1.1 Complaints

1.1.1 In the calendar year 2014 to date 12 complaints against councillors have been received.

FHDC	SEBC	PARISH/TOWN
3	1	8 (2 FHDC, 6 SEBC)

The number of complaints over the year amount to an average of about one complaint per month. The level of complaints does not appear to be showing significant change since the new regime was introduced, nor is there any pattern emerging that would give cause for concern. Members are therefore asked simply to note this response as no action is required.

## 1.2 **Outcomes**

1.2.1 One complaint concerned three members of the same parish council and arose out of the conduct of council meetings. Training on Bias and Predetermination was recommended and the Monitoring Officer offered to give the training at no cost. Nothing further has been heard from the parish council and, while we have no power to impose the training, or sanction them for not taking it up, we have written to the clerk to find out if they acted on the recommendation.

After the initial consideration, no further action was required in nine cases.

One case went to an investigation and is the subject of a report to this committee.

An apology was proposed as further action in two cases.

## 2. Matters Alleged

2.1 The matters alleged mainly concerned possible breaches of Paragraph 1 of the Code concerning treating others with respect – both in what was said, what was written and the councillor's behaviour.

In one case the complaint was not pursued because at the time of the conduct complained of the Councillor was not carrying out the role of councillor.

There were two allegations around alleged failure to make a declaration of interests, but these were not found to be substantiated.